

- ▶ PIOTR GRUZA, *The structure of the definability relation between definitions of truth*. Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw, S. Banacha 2, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland. *E-mail*: p.gruza3@uw.edu.pl.

A theory  $T$  is a *theory of truth* for a language  $\mathcal{L}$  if and only if there exists a formula  $\Theta$  such that for each sentence  $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ , the theory  $T$  proves  $\Theta(\ulcorner \sigma \urcorner) \leftrightarrow \sigma$ . The well-known theorem of Alfred Tarski states that a reasonably strong theory cannot be a theory of truth for its language.

In this talk, we focus on finitely axiomatizable theories of truth (called *definitions of truth*) for the language of Peano Arithmetic extending  $\text{I}\Delta_0 + \text{Exp}$ . For simplicity, we assume that all considered theories are expressed in languages extending  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{PA}}$  by relational symbols only.

Having two theories of truth  $S$  and  $T$ , we say that  $S$  *defines*  $T$  iff we can assign to every non-arithmetic  $n$ -ary symbol  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $\mathcal{L}_T$  an  $n$ -ary formula  $\Theta_{\mathfrak{R}}$  of  $\mathcal{L}_S$  in such a way that  $S$  proves every axiom of  $T$  with  $\Theta_{\mathfrak{R}}$  substituted for each occurrence of  $\mathfrak{R}$  for each symbol  $\mathfrak{R}$  – in other words,  $S$  directly and conservatively over  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{PA}}$  interprets  $T$ . It can be seen that a definability relation constitutes a preorder on the theories of truth ( $S \geq T$  iff  $S$  defines  $T$ ).

Using a method developed by Fedor Pakhomov and himself, Albert Visser showed that there is no minimal element in the definability preorder among definitions of truth. Combining that method with some truth-theoretic techniques, we prove that the order generated by that preorder is a distributive lattice which embeds every countable distributive lattice.

Joint work with Mateusz Łełyk.